International Journal of Education and Science Research Review
Volume-12, Issue-3 May-June-2025 E-ISSN 2348-6457
(Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) P-ISSN 2349-1817
www.ijesrr.in Impact Factor - 7.02 Email- editor@ijesrr.in

Impact of Sustainable Environmental Practices on Hotel Performance and Tourist Satisfaction in Garhwal Region

Anupam Nautiyal[1], Dr. S.S Rawat[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Sparsh Himalaya University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand
Email: anupam.nautiyal21@gmail.com
[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Sparsh Himalaya University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand
Email: drrawat.info@gmail.com

Abstract

Sustainable environmental practices have become increasingly important to tourism and hospitality businesses operating in ecologically sensitive destinations. The Garhwal region of Uttarakhand, India, combines pilgrimage, adventure, cultural, and nature-based tourism with fragile mountain ecosystems and uneven infrastructure. This paper examines how practices such as energy and water conservation, waste reduction, local sourcing, responsible construction, and community participation may influence hotel performance and tourist satisfaction. It adopts a qualitative, literature-based approach and draws on published research concerning sustainable tourism in Uttarakhand, hotel performance, and tourist satisfaction. The review suggests that environmental practices can support operational efficiency, reputation, guest trust, and destination quality, but outcomes depend on implementation, cost, staff training, infrastructure, and transparent communication. The paper does not claim original survey findings; instead, it develops a conceptual framework and practical recommendations for hotels, local authorities, and researchers. It concludes that sustainability should be treated as an integrated management strategy rather than a promotional label. Future empirical work should test the relationships using data from hotels and tourists across different Garhwal destinations and seasons.

Introduction

Tourism is an important source of employment, income, entrepreneurship, and cultural exchange in Uttarakhand. Within the state, Garhwal attracts visitors to destinations associated with pilgrimage, mountain scenery, trekking, rivers, forests, local traditions, and village life. Hotels, guesthouses, lodges, and homestays provide accommodation and related services, while their operations also consume water and energy, generate waste, and place pressure on local infrastructure. These effects are particularly significant in mountain environments, where steep terrain, seasonal demand, limited waste-treatment capacity, and ecological sensitivity can make resource management difficult.

Sustainable tourism seeks to balance economic viability with environmental protection and social well-being. For hotels, sustainability is not limited to installing solar panels or displaying messages asking guests to reuse towels. It includes routine decisions about purchasing, housekeeping, food service, water use, energy systems, waste handling, building design, transport, employment, and engagement with nearby communities. When these decisions are planned and monitored, they may reduce avoidable costs while improving the quality and credibility of the visitor experience.

Research on tourism in the Indian Himalayan Region has warned that unplanned development and high visitor flows can conflict with the ecological limits of mountain destinations. A study of Uttarakhand's tourism sector argues for coordinated strategies that make tourism businesses more compatible with regional environmental conditions (Sharma et al.). Research on hotel performance in Uttarakhand also indicates that performance measurement should consider more than financial indicators alone (Kala and Bagri). These findings make the relationship between environmental management, business performance, and visitor satisfaction an important area of investigation.

This paper examines that relationship in the Garhwal region. Its central argument is that well-designed environmental practices can create shared value: they can help hotels use resources more

efficiently, strengthen their reputation, and contribute to cleaner and more appealing destinations. However, the benefits are not automatic. Poorly communicated initiatives, unreliable utilities, added guest inconvenience, or unsupported environmental claims can weaken trust. Therefore, sustainability should be assessed through measurable outcomes and the lived experience of tourists.

Research Problem and Significance

Hotels in mountain destinations face a practical challenge: they must provide reliable comfort and hygiene while reducing pressure on limited natural resources. Tourists may expect hot water, clean rooms, convenient transport, safe food, and dependable waste disposal, yet the provision of these services can require substantial energy and water. Small and family-run properties may also lack the capital, technical knowledge, or access to infrastructure needed to adopt efficient systems. At the same time, the environmental quality of the destination is part of the product that attracts visitors in the first place.

The research problem is therefore twofold. First, it is necessary to understand how environmental practices may affect hotel outcomes such as operating costs, service quality, reputation, competitiveness, and long-term resilience. Second, it is necessary to examine whether tourists notice and value these practices, and whether their perceptions influence satisfaction, recommendations, and intentions to return. A region-specific discussion is significant because mountain hotels operate under conditions that differ from those in large urban destinations.

This paper is useful for hotel owners and managers seeking practical sustainability priorities, tourism officials responsible for destination management, local communities concerned about environmental impacts, and students researching sustainable hospitality. It also identifies a research gap: broad arguments about sustainable tourism do not by themselves establish the size or direction of the relationship between individual practices and hotel performance in every Garhwal destination.

Objectives of the Study

1. To identify key environmental practices relevant to hotels in the Garhwal region.
2. To examine the possible relationship between sustainable practices and hotel performance.
3. To analyse how environmental responsibility may influence tourist satisfaction and behavioural intentions.
4. To identify barriers to implementation and suggest practical measures for hotels and destination stakeholders.

Research Questions

5. Which sustainable environmental practices are most relevant to hotels in Garhwal?
6. Through what mechanisms could these practices influence operational and market performance?
7. How might tourists' awareness of environmental practices affect satisfaction and loyalty?
8. What financial, infrastructural, and managerial barriers constrain implementation?

Review of Literature

Sustainable tourism and mountain destinations. Sustainable tourism is commonly understood as tourism that considers current and future economic, social, and environmental effects. In mountain regions, this approach is especially important because landscapes, water systems, biodiversity, and cultural resources are both vulnerable and central to tourism demand. Sharma and colleagues' investigation of Uttarakhand identifies the incompatibility that can arise between unplanned tourism growth and the ecological conditions of the Indian Himalayan Region. Their work supports a destination-wide perspective: individual hotels cannot achieve sustainability if roads, drainage, waste systems, and visitor management remain inadequate.

Environmental practices in hospitality. Hotel environmental management often includes efficient lighting and appliances, renewable energy where feasible, water-saving fixtures, leak detection, linen-reuse

programmes, responsible cleaning products, waste segregation, composting, reduced single-use plastics, and careful purchasing. The effectiveness of these actions depends on local conditions and implementation quality. For example, a linen-reuse programme can save water and energy when guests understand the option and housekeeping follows it consistently. Waste segregation has limited value if separated materials are later mixed during collection. Thus, operational systems and staff participation matter as much as the visible initiative.

Hotel performance. Hotel performance is multidimensional. Financial indicators include revenue, profit, occupancy, average daily rate, and cost per occupied room. Operational indicators include energy and water consumption, maintenance, waste generation, service reliability, and employee productivity. Market indicators include online reputation, repeat visits, recommendations, and differentiation from competitors. Kala and Bagri's study of hotels in Uttarakhand notes the importance of performance measurement and observes that managers have traditionally placed strong emphasis on financial measures. A sustainability-oriented framework can broaden measurement by adding resource efficiency and guest-facing outcomes to conventional financial indicators.

Tourist satisfaction. Satisfaction reflects the comparison between what a visitor expects and the experience received. Cleanliness, comfort, safety, hospitality, accessibility, value for money, and destination conditions all contribute to the overall evaluation. Research on tourist satisfaction in Uttarakhand's hill-station hotel industry highlights the role of service-quality attributes in satisfaction (Shah et al.). Environmental practices are likely to support satisfaction when they improve cleanliness, reduce visible pollution, protect scenic surroundings, or allow guests to participate conveniently in responsible behaviour. Yet environmental messaging cannot compensate for poor service, inadequate sanitation, or unreliable basic facilities.

Local context and community participation. Sustainable hospitality also depends on relationships with local producers, workers, artisans, and residents. Buying seasonal produce locally, employing and training residents, offering regional food responsibly, and explaining local customs can keep more tourism income in the area. Recent work on homestays in Uttarakhand reports links among service quality, cultural experiences, sustainability practices, and tourist satisfaction, although findings from homestays should not automatically be generalised to all hotels (Kumar et al.). Community participation can also help businesses understand local environmental priorities and build legitimacy.

Research gap. Existing literature supports the importance of sustainable destination management, hotel performance measurement, and service quality. However, a specific, comparative assessment of individual environmental practices and their effects on hotel performance and tourist satisfaction across Garhwal's varied destinations remains necessary. Pilgrimage centres, adventure destinations, roadside hotels, and rural homestays differ in seasonality, visitor expectations, infrastructure, and operating scale. This paper responds by proposing a framework that can be tested in future field research rather than presenting uncollected data as findings.

Research Methodology

The paper uses a qualitative, descriptive, and literature-based research design. It synthesises published studies on sustainable tourism in Uttarakhand, hotel performance, and tourist satisfaction, then applies those themes to the operating context of hotels in Garhwal. No original questionnaire, interview, hotel audit, or tourist survey was conducted for this paper. Accordingly, the discussion presents a conceptual analysis and propositions for future testing, not statistical proof of causal effects.

The geographic focus is the Garhwal region of Uttarakhand, including destinations with pilgrimage, nature-based, cultural, and adventure tourism. The main analytical categories are: (1) environmental practices, (2) operational and business performance, (3) tourist perceptions and satisfaction, and (4)

enabling or limiting conditions. The literature was interpreted thematically, with attention to how resource efficiency, service quality, destination conditions, and management capacity may connect.

A future empirical study could use a structured questionnaire for hotel managers and tourists, alongside resource-use records from participating properties. Hotel-level measures could include monthly electricity and water consumption per occupied room, waste diverted from landfill, operating costs, occupancy, and online-review scores. Tourist measures could include awareness of environmental practices, perceived authenticity, cleanliness, comfort, value, satisfaction, and intention to recommend. A mixed-method design would combine statistical analysis with interviews that explain why practices succeed or fail. Any final empirical paper should state its sample, dates, instruments, ethics process, and limitations transparently.

Sustainable Environmental Practices in Garhwal Hotels

Energy management. Hotels can begin with energy audits, LED lighting, efficient water heaters, temperature controls, regular maintenance, and staff procedures for switching off unused equipment. Solar water heating or solar electricity may be appropriate where roof conditions, capital, maintenance, and seasonal demand support them. In colder locations, insulation and efficient heating can reduce energy use without compromising comfort. Managers should measure consumption against occupied rooms rather than relying only on total monthly bills, since occupancy fluctuates seasonally.

Water conservation. Water-efficient taps and showers, dual-flush toilets, leak checks, laundry planning, and reuse of suitable greywater for non-potable purposes can reduce demand when designed and maintained safely. Hotels should avoid presenting water reuse as safe for every purpose; drinking water and sanitation must meet applicable standards. Water conservation is also a destination issue. Properties should understand the reliability and sustainability of their water source and avoid practices that shift shortages onto residents.

Waste prevention and management. Waste reduction should begin before disposal. Hotels can reduce single-use packaging, provide refill stations where safe drinking water is assured, purchase in bulk, and work with suppliers to minimise packaging. Clearly labelled bins, safe storage, composting of suitable organic waste, and reliable collection arrangements are essential. Compost should be produced and used appropriately, and hazardous or sanitary waste must be handled separately according to applicable rules. Hotels should not claim recycling outcomes unless downstream collection or processing is verified.

Responsible food and purchasing. Seasonal local food, responsible portion planning, menu design that reduces avoidable waste, and procurement from nearby producers can reduce transport impacts and support livelihoods. Local purchasing should still respect food safety, consistent quality, fair prices, and supply reliability. Menus can communicate the origin of selected ingredients accurately without exaggerating environmental benefits. Regional cuisine and respectful interpretation of local culture can enrich the visitor experience while supporting local enterprise.

Building design and biodiversity. New construction and renovation should respect slope stability, drainage, local building conditions, landscape character, and applicable environmental and planning rules. Landscaping with locally appropriate plants, controlling light and noise, preventing wastewater discharge into streams, and avoiding disturbance to wildlife can protect the setting that attracts tourists. In fragile areas, a hotel's environmental responsibility extends beyond its boundary to the shared landscape and infrastructure.

Staff training and guest communication. Staff are central to implementation. Training should cover energy and water procedures, waste separation, chemical handling, guest questions, and reporting of leaks or environmental hazards. Guest communication should be brief, clear, and voluntary wherever possible. Visitors are more likely to trust a hotel that explains what it actually does, provides convenient options, and reports progress honestly than one that relies on vague terms such as "100 percent green."

Impact on Hotel Performance

Cost and resource efficiency. The most direct business pathway is the reduction of avoidable resource consumption. Efficient lighting, equipment maintenance, leak repair, and better laundry planning can lower utility and replacement costs over time. However, the initial investment, local energy prices, maintenance capacity, and occupancy pattern affect payback. Managers should therefore assess lifecycle costs rather than assume every environmental investment produces immediate savings.

Service quality and operational reliability. Environmental management can improve routine operations when it is integrated into housekeeping and maintenance. Leak detection prevents room disruption and property damage; safe waste handling supports hygiene; and efficient equipment may reduce breakdowns. Conversely, poorly planned restrictions—such as unreliable hot water or insufficient cleaning—can harm the guest experience. Sustainability targets must be designed around minimum service standards and guest safety.

Reputation and competitive positioning. Travellers increasingly encounter sustainability claims in hotel descriptions and booking platforms. Credible practices can distinguish a property, particularly when they are relevant to the destination and easy for guests to observe. Local sourcing, clean surroundings, transparent waste practices, and respectful community engagement may strengthen trust and positive word of mouth. Yet unsupported claims can create reputational risk. Managers should document practices and communicate specific actions instead of relying on broad environmental slogans.

Resilience and long-term viability. Resource efficiency may reduce exposure to utility shortages, rising costs, and operational disruptions. Community relationships can also improve a hotel's understanding of local needs and support cooperation during peak seasons or emergencies. These benefits are difficult to measure in a single month but can matter to long-term viability. Performance evaluation should therefore combine financial, operational, environmental, and market indicators.

Performance is not guaranteed to improve equally for every property. Small hotels may face financing constraints; seasonal businesses may struggle to recover upfront costs; and some measures depend on municipal infrastructure beyond a manager's control. For this reason, sustainability programmes should be prioritised according to local risk, feasibility, cost, and measurable impact.

Impact on Tourist Satisfaction

Perceived cleanliness and destination quality. Tourists visit Garhwal for its natural, cultural, and spiritual attractions. Litter, polluted water, unmanaged waste, and damaged landscapes can undermine the purpose of the trip. Hotels that manage their own waste and cooperate with destination initiatives contribute to a cleaner environment, although no single hotel can solve a destination-wide problem. Guests may value sustainability most when they can see a clear improvement in hygiene, landscape quality, and responsible use of shared spaces.

Trust, authenticity, and participation. Environmental practices may enhance satisfaction when visitors perceive them as genuine and consistent. Accurate explanations of local sourcing, water conservation, or community partnerships can make a stay feel more connected to place. Guests may also appreciate simple ways to participate, such as sorting waste or choosing linen reuse. Participation should remain convenient and should not transfer a hotel's core responsibilities onto customers.

Comfort and value. Satisfaction depends on a balanced experience. Tourists are unlikely to rate a property highly if environmental measures make basic services unreliable or appear to reduce value without explanation. The goal is not to ask guests to accept poor facilities in the name of sustainability; it is to provide comfort with less waste and lower environmental impact. Clear communication can help visitors understand reasonable measures, but actual service quality remains essential.

Loyalty and recommendations. When environmental responsibility reinforces a positive overall stay, it may contribute to recommendation, repeat visits, and favourable reviews. However, satisfaction is

influenced by many factors, including staff behaviour, price, location, safety, food, transport, and expectations. Future studies should test whether perceived sustainability independently predicts satisfaction after controlling for these other factors. They should also distinguish tourists who notice environmental practices from those who simply assume a hotel is sustainable.

Conceptual Framework and Propositions

The proposed framework places sustainable environmental practices as the explanatory factor, hotel performance and tourist satisfaction as key outcomes, and management capability and destination infrastructure as important conditions. Practices may influence hotel performance through resource efficiency, service reliability, reputation, and risk reduction. They may influence tourist satisfaction through perceived cleanliness, trust, destination quality, and alignment with visitor values. Staff training, investment capacity, supplier networks, local waste services, and clear communication may strengthen or weaken these pathways.

9. P1: Greater implementation of measurable environmental practices is associated with improved resource efficiency in hotels.
10. P2: Hotels that integrate sustainability into service and maintenance systems may report stronger operational performance than hotels that treat it as a promotional activity only.
11. P3: Tourists' positive perceptions of authentic environmental practices are positively associated with overall satisfaction, when basic service quality is maintained.
12. P4: The relationship between environmental practices and outcomes is influenced by hotel size, seasonality, management commitment, and destination infrastructure.

These propositions are hypotheses for future research, not results established by the present literature-based paper. Testing them would require clearly defined measures, an adequate sample, and appropriate statistical or qualitative analysis.

Challenges and Barriers

Financial limitations are a major concern, particularly for small family-run properties. Solar systems, efficient equipment, wastewater treatment, and building upgrades may require substantial initial expenditure. Access to loans, grants, technical advice, and trustworthy vendors can affect adoption. A phased approach—beginning with audits, LED lighting, leak repair, waste prevention, and staff training—can help hotels achieve early improvements while planning larger investments.

Infrastructure constraints can limit individual action. Reliable recycling, sewage treatment, public transport, and safe water systems require coordination among hotels, local bodies, utilities, and community organisations. Hotels may be willing to separate waste but unable to ensure that it is processed separately. Destination-level planning and transparent service arrangements are therefore essential.

Knowledge and implementation gaps can also undermine outcomes. Owners may not know which measures offer the best return, while staff turnover can weaken consistency. Training should be practical, repeated, and included in induction. Environmental responsibilities should be assigned to specific roles, with simple records that managers can review.

Finally, greenwashing is a risk when marketing claims exceed actual performance. Labels such as "eco-friendly" are not meaningful without evidence. Hotels should set modest, verifiable targets, record progress, and correct misleading claims. Independent certification may be useful where credible schemes are accessible, but certification should complement rather than replace good daily management.

Recommendations

13. Conduct a baseline audit of electricity, water, fuel, waste, purchasing, and maintenance, then establish realistic annual targets.

14. Prioritise low-cost measures with clear benefits, including LED lighting, leak repairs, efficient laundry schedules, refillable amenities, and waste prevention.
15. Use resource indicators such as electricity and water per occupied room, alongside cost, occupancy, guest ratings, and complaint data.
16. Train staff regularly and assign responsibility for monitoring each practice; keep simple records that survive staff changes.
17. Coordinate with local authorities, waste collectors, suppliers, and community groups on collection, wastewater, water security, and visitor education.
18. Communicate specific actions honestly. Avoid unsupported claims and explain how guests can participate without compromising comfort or hygiene.
19. Encourage locally appropriate food and purchasing, fair employment, and respectful cultural interpretation while maintaining safety and quality standards.
20. Develop region-specific guidance for pilgrimage centres, adventure destinations, roadside hotels, and rural homestays rather than applying one identical checklist everywhere.
21. Provide small properties with access to technical assistance, pooled purchasing, financing information, and practical training.
22. Undertake periodic reviews and invite guest and employee feedback so that environmental measures can be improved rather than treated as one-time projects.

Limitations and Scope for Future Research

This paper is a literature-based conceptual study and does not present original field data. It cannot establish the magnitude of effects or prove that environmental practices cause higher hotel performance or satisfaction. The literature also covers different settings and types of accommodation, so its findings should

be applied to Garhwal with care. Conditions vary between destinations, seasons, hotel categories, and visitor groups.

Future research should collect data from a diverse sample of hotels and tourists across Garhwal. A stratified sample could include pilgrimage centres, hill stations, adventure gateways, and rural destinations, with both small and larger properties represented. Researchers should record whether practices are implemented, how consistently they are followed, and whether they are independently verified. Tourist surveys could measure awareness, perceived authenticity, satisfaction, value, and intention to recommend. Interviews with managers, employees, local suppliers, and residents would explain barriers and unintended consequences.

Longitudinal research would be especially valuable. Comparing resource use and guest feedback before and after a hotel introduces a specific intervention can provide stronger evidence than a single cross-sectional survey. Studies should also examine distributional effects: environmental programmes should not shift costs unfairly to workers or residents, and tourism income should contribute to local well-being. A transparent design would allow policymakers and hotel managers to distinguish promising practices from initiatives that merely look sustainable.

Conclusion

Sustainable environmental practices offer a practical pathway for aligning hotel operations with the ecological and social needs of the Garhwal region. Energy efficiency, water conservation, waste prevention, responsible purchasing, suitable building practices, staff training, and community participation can potentially reduce resource pressure while supporting operational reliability and a more credible visitor experience. These benefits matter because the natural and cultural qualities of Garhwal are central to its tourism appeal.

The relationship between sustainability, hotel performance, and tourist satisfaction is nevertheless conditional. Investments require careful financial planning, environmental systems need dependable local infrastructure, and guest comfort and safety must remain non-negotiable. The strongest programmes are those that integrate environmental responsibility into everyday management, measure progress, involve employees and communities, and communicate only what can be demonstrated. Sustainability should therefore be viewed as a continuing management process rather than a decorative marketing claim.

The paper concludes that hotels in Garhwal can strengthen long-term competitiveness by treating environmental quality as part of service quality and destination stewardship. To move from a plausible framework to robust local evidence, future research should test the proposed relationships using transparent field methods and comparable indicators. Such evidence can help hotels, public agencies, and communities develop tourism that is economically viable, satisfying for visitors, and more respectful of the Himalayan environment.

REFERENCES

- ✓ Kala, Devkant, and Satish Chandra Bagri. “Balanced Scorecard Usage and Performance of Hotels: A Study from the Tourist State of Uttarakhand, India.” Asia-Pacific Journal of Innovation in Hospitality and Tourism, vol. 3, no. 1, 2014, pp. 1–18. Springer, https://doi.org/10.7603/s40930-014-0009-8.
- ✓ Kumar, Surendra, et al. “Tourist Satisfaction in Homestay Businesses in Uttarakhand: Key Drivers and Implications for Sustainable Development.” TPM—Testing, Psychometrics, Methodology in Applied Psychology, vol. 32, suppl. 8, 2025, pp. 1214–1221. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18162743.

✓ Shah, Swati, Devendra Krishana Bhatt, and S. K. Gupta. "Service Quality Impact on Tourist Satisfaction in Hotel Industry of Hill Stations in Uttarakhand: A Structural Equation Modeling Analysis." Journal of Tourism, vol. 23, no. 2, Dec. 2022, pp. 51–62.

✓ Sharma, R., et al. "Strategies for Developing Sustainable Tourism Business in the Indian Himalayan Region: Insights from Uttarakhand, the Northern Himalayan State of India." Journal of Destination Marketing & Management, vol. 19, 2021, article 100546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2020.100546.